

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,730	07/24/2001	J. Phillip Horne	5441-001	5514
25184 7590 12/13/2007 WILLIAM J. MASON			EXAMINER	
MACCORD M	IASON PLLC		WEISBERGER, RICHARD C	
POST OFFICE BOX 1489 WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC 28480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/912,730	HORNE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard C. Weisberger	3693	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second s	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and application of declaration is objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and application of declaration is objected to by the Examine and application of the sheet and application of the sh	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the control of the drawing(s) is objected to be control of the drawing(s) is objected to be control of the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3,4,6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bank's

ATMs let customers give to charity.

The reference teaches a method for selecting a money amount and a charitable organization via a ATM

terminal and transferring said amount to qualified charitable organization. Inherent in the use of an ATM

is the use of a password.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bank's ATMs let

customers give to charity.

The reference teaches a method for selecting a money amount and a charitable organization via an ATM

terminal and transferring said amount to qualified charitable organization. It would have been obvious to

including the step of providing the donor with a receipt or to not receive a receipt evidencing transfer of

the asset and the date of the transfer and to receive a summary of donations as motivated by the need to

have a record.

Application/Control Number:

09/912,730

Art Unit: 3693

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to make this feature available via the internet as

internet banking is well known and provides many of the features available through an ATM.

Claim 5 would be allowable if written in independent form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Richard C. Weisberger whose telephone number is 571 272 6753. The examiner can

normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 10:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim

Trammel can be reached on 571 272 6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kichard C Weisberger Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 3693